Hi Akshay,

Thank you for your reply.

As I mentioned on my last email, this is a draft and few documents are still to be added(references and etc) as well as final editing and revision will be performed. We are aware of the comments bellow and we will assess them accordingly.

4.       You have multiple images with same label name, eg there are two “Figure 1”- one in pg 4 and the other in pg 8.

5.       When you say - See distributed system reference for more info – Link to the article or the website.

6.       Justify the text, make it more presentable. Start learning Latex. Note: by group decision Latex will not be used.

8.       Whenever you use external software or contents make sure you refer to its website. Eg: JMeter – link the website. Or create a heading called References and cite them here.

10.   Too many redundant contents. Repeated contents as well.

11.   Inconsistent font types.

For the other points below we commend on each :

1.       I think you should emphasis more on hardware solution (I think the client wants a hardware implementation more than cloud). Keep this for now.

We would like to keep it for now. Our research shows that the best way to estimate the appropriate hardware specifications is by testing. Without a prototype, we have agreed that our experience level is not enough to estimate correctly, therefore, we limited ourselves to possible approaches instead of solid solutions.

2.       Put appendix A, B and C in your feasibility study.

We will include all documents in one file.

3.       It looks like you have visited the arena, I would recommend using a WiFi range identifier on your phone to check the dB of the access point.

This is great recommendation and We will apply it in the future.

7.       Have you spoken to the ICT regarding how they manage the IO’s? If you not, I’m sorry but you are late.

Yes, We attempted to communicate with the ICT team. Only the lecture would be able to get us in contact with them. I was advised by the lecture I should be more specific and what were our purposes. We don’t have any individual in our team with knowledge in networks, therefore we have performed all research which involved covering significant material that we consider beyond the project prospectus.

We believe that now we are more familiar to the topics to ask the correct questions and understand the answers accordingly.

9.       You should know that people hate AGPLv3, I think you should reconsider this license. You need a very strong justification to use such restricted license.

Thank you for highlighting that, we will be revising it further.

After your feedback, we have discussed and we would like to have a meeting when possible. We plan to have the documentation ready by the end of the week. Would you be available around 12pm to 2pm on Monday?

Thank you again,

Regards,

Vini